1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General			
2	of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco CA 94102			
3				
4				
5				
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	PEROPE	DVI D		
9	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	In the Matter of the Association Assignt.	Com No. D. 2060		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2069		
13	WALDO ELIAS MERY 2105 Coddine Drive	OAH No. N2007060451		
14	Modesto CA 95350	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
15	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5451	[Gov. Code §11520]		
16	Respondent.			
17	FINDINGS OF FACT			
18	1. On or about April 5, 2007, Co	omplainant Stephanie Nunez, in her official		
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California, Department of			
20	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. R-2069 against Waldo Elias Mery (Respondent) before			
21	the Respiratory Care Board.			
22	2. On or about June 28, 1985, the	e Respiratory Care Board (Board) issued		
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5451 to Respondent. The Respiratory Care			
24	Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought hereir			
25	and will expire on March 31, 2008, unless renewed.			
26	3. On or about April 5, 2007, Jennifer Porcalla, an employee of the Board,			
27	served by regular and certified mail, a copy of the Accusation No. R-2069, Statement to			
28	Respondent, Request for Discovery, Government co-	de sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7,		

Notice of Defense form (two copies), to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 2105 Coddine Drive, Modesto CA 95350. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about April 18, 2007, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for September 6, 2007. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing. A copy of Respondent's Notice of Defense, the Notice of Hearing, and Declaration of Service are attached hereto as exhibit B, and are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in exhibits A, B and C, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. R-2069 are true.
- 9. The Respiratory Care Board further finds that pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3753.5, the costs of investigation and enforcement of the case prayed

1	for in the Accusation total \$1,691.75, based on the Certification of Costs contained in Exhibit F.		
2	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>		
3	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Waldo Elias Mery has		
4	subjected his Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5451 to discipline.		
5	2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of		
6	Service are attached.		
7	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.		
8	4. The Respiratory Care Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's		
9	Respiratory Care Practitioner License based upon the following violations alleged in the		
10	Accusation:		
11	A. On January 10, 2007, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to		
12	violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol level above .08%]		
13	and Vehicle Code section 2800.1 [evading the police in a marked unit] in violation of		
14	Business and Professions code sections 3750(d) and (g), 3752, CCR 1399.370(a) and (c).		
15	B. As a matter in aggravation, respondent was issued a Public Letter of		
16	Reprimand in case no. R-1149 based on his August 25, 1994 conviction for violating Vehicle		
17	Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol.]		
18	5. Respondent is hereby ordered to pay the above costs of investigation and		
19	enforcement of this action.		
20	<u>ORDER</u>		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED that Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5451,		
22	heretofore issued to Respondent Waldo Elias Mery, is revoked.		
23	Respondent is ordered to reimburse the Respiratory Care Board the amount of		
24	\$1,691.75 for its investigative and enforcement costs. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent		
25	shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board for its costs.		
26	Respondent's Respiratory Care Practitioner License may not be renewed or reinstated unless all		
27	costs ordered under Business and Professions Code section 3753.5 have been paid.		
28	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520 subdivision (c) Respondent may		

1	serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on		
2	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion		
3	may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the		
4	statute.		
5		This Decision shall become effective on November 24,2007.	
6		It is so ORDERED October 25, 2007	
7			
8		Original signed by: LARRY L. RENNER, BS, RRT, RCP, RPFT	
9		PRESIDENT, RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10		STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	Attachments:		
16	Exhibit A: Exhibit B:	Accusation No. R-2069, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service Notice of Defense, Notice of Hearing, and Declaration of Service	
17	Exhibit C: Exhibit D:	Certified copy of Ripon Police Department report no. 06-2540	
18	Exhibit E: Exhibit F:	Certified copy of court documents for January 10, 2007 conviction Certification of Costs: Declaration of Catherine E. Santillan	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			